Family Resource Center Advisory Board Date: 1/29/2024

In Attendance: Amy Luhn, Ariana Berenice, Camryn Lau (ASOSU Senate Budget Committee), Erika Woosley, Harley Bruno, Harper Kenee, Kanchan Ojha, Kim Rockwood, Kristi King, Stephanie Smith

Not Present: none

Minute Taker: Kim Rockwood

NEXT MEETING: Tuesday, 2/20/2024, 1:00-2:00, MU 212

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION / FOLLOW UP
Introductions	Attendees greeted each other.	
Updates from Amy	Amy will send email to the FRCAB with FRC updates.	
Updates from Harper from SFC	 The FRC budget and two decision packages (1. Student Media position & 2. OLV restructuring) were presented to the Student Fee Committee. All went well. The preliminary votes for both of the decision packages passed unanimously and no roadblocks foreseen. There are difficult and complicated discussions taking place regarding the living wage increase request for student workers paid through student fee funded positions. 	
Updates from Erika (SFC Presentation & Deliberations)	 The FRC presentation to the SFC went well. Not many questions so far. Most of the questions were around Decision Package 2 (OLV Restructuring) and how it will benefit students. We were able to explain this a bit better and was well received by the SFC. If both FRC decision packages pass and the base budget, the student fee will be \$21.85 per term, per student. The SFC final deliberation will be held Thursday 2/1. 	

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION / FOLLOW UP
Request for increased living wage for students	 The living wage increase request for student employees benefits student employees funded by the SFC; this does not apply to all student workers across the university. If the living wage increase passes, the student fee will increase by \$2.76 per term, per student. If passed, FRC student employees funded by student fees will receive minimum \$16.65/hour next school year, FY2024-2025. Not all of the FRC student employees are paid for out of our student fee budget (Dixon Kits sub pool is paid by E&G). While FRC would ensure equitable pay between these populations, this shows the complexity of requiring it for some student employees and not others. Thoughts from the board: Having mixed feelings about this request and would like input from others; at this point would probably not support the increase due to lack of knowledge; it feels rushed, complicated, not enough information, and not equitable to all student workers; the living wage increase only affects SFC units (ASOSU, BNC, FRC, Dixon Rec Sports, Performing Arts, SEC, Cultural Centers); this is causing a lot of confusion with students because this increase is not university wide. In support of the increase; the increase would help provide student parents a better quality of life. Feeling hesitant about whether to support the increase or not; feels it is important and that any extra increase in wage helps, however, needs to do more research and if possible would like to see a breakdown of student wages to make a more informed decision. In support of the increase. This is a complex issue; it is broadly supported by students; possibility that if one unit increases student wages, other units will follow. Feels this request is difficult because it is not equitable to all student workers; would like to see all students treated equally and the living wage increase shouldn't be restricted to SFC units. 	• Erika will send email with outcome from Thursday 2/1 deliberation.

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION / FOLLOW UP
	 Board did not feel like they had enough information to formally vote on the living wage increase. 	
Calendar Review	 SFC Final Deliberation: 2/1, 5:00-10:00 Senate Deliberation #1: 2/6, 5:00-8:00 Senate Deliberation #2: 2/13, 5:00-8:00 Remaining FRCAB Winter Term meetings: 2/20, 1:00-2:00; 3/18, 2:00-3:00 	